

Single Bench

CF1002

(3)

(D)

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT
BILASPUR**

W.P. No. 346 Of 2005.

PETITIONER

P.R. No. 332/05
Presented by Shri. V.B. Rajan
dated 27.1.05 :-

Jugal Kishore Shukla, son of Late R.P.
Shukla, aged about 54 years, resident
of L.I.G. 1189, M.P.H.B., Industrial
Estate, Bhilai, District Durg (C.G.)

V E R S U S

RESPONDENTS

:- Durg-Rajnandgaon Gramin Bank,
Through Chairman and Disciplinary
Authority, G.E. Road, Rajnandgaon
(Chhattisgarh).

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

DETAILS OF PETITION :-

1. **PARTICULARS OF PETITIONER** :- As above in title.
2. **PARTICULARS OF RESPONDENTS** :- As above in title.
3. **ORDER AGAINST WHICH THE PETITION IS BEING MADE :-**

1. Kr /Praka /Karmik/5278/2004,

323

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. No. 346 of 2005

Petitioner

Jugal Kishore Shukla

Versus

Respondents

Durg - Rajnandgaon Gramin
Bank

Single Bench : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri.

Shri H. B. Agarwal, Senior Advocate with Miss Sangceta Mishra,
Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri P. S. Koshy, Advocate for the respondent.

ORDER

(Passed on this 29th day of January, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, who was working as Branch Manager in Durg Rajnandgaon Gramin Bank, Branch at Kurud, was placed under suspension vide order dated 10.2.2004 (Annexure P/1). The petitioner has impugned the said suspension order on the ground that the suspension order was vitiated in view of the fact that the respondents/employer has placed the petitioner under suspension deliberately to deprive the petitioner from being considered for promotion.
2. The enquiry has been initiated. At this stage, when the enquiry has been initiated, after proper charge sheet, it is not necessary to go into the validity of the suspension order. However, in the facts and circumstances of the case, when the petitioner is under suspension since 10.2.2004, it is desirable, in the interest of justice, that the pending departmental enquiry be concluded, as expeditiously as possible, within a period of four months from today. The petitioner undertakes to cooperate with the enquiry. In absence of cooperation on the part of the petitioner, the enquiring authority may proceed, objectively, in accordance with law.
3. In view of the foregoing, this petition stands dismissed as having become infructuous.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge